

Information meeting CIMED project grant 2021-2023

Monday February 17th, 4.15 pm

Ana Futura, Alfred Nobels Allé 8

CIMED project grants

- Announced yearly starting from 2019
- For clinical and translational research projects at Campus Flemingsberg
- Budget for current call: 56,5 MSEK (15,5 + 20 + 20 MSEK)
 - Junior: up to SEK 0.8 million/year
 - Senior: up to SEK 1.5 million/year
- Deadline Thursday March 5th at 4.00 pm
- Applications through Region Stockholm's application webpage



<https://forskningsstod.vmi.se/Ansokan/start.asp>

Startsida

Startsida

Utlysningar/anvisn.

Ansök här

Min ansökan

Beviljade anslag

Populärvet. beskr.

Statistik

Fakturering

Beredning

Granskningsgrupper

Acknowledgement

Nyheter:

2020-02-06

Viktig information!

Vi har problem med att vissa mail som går från funktionsbrevlådan Info_SLLFoUUI.sf@sll.se hamnar i mottagarens skräppost.

Vi ber er därför att söka igenom inkorgen med skräppost, framförallt vid begäran av nytt lösenord till ansökningssystemet. Kontakta Anna Roloff eller Sofia Baez-Catalan på kansliet om ni inte hittar mailet i er skräppost.

Felsökning pågår.

2020-02-03

Ansökningsperioden för medel i INNOVATIONSFONDEN 2020, period 2 är stängt.

2020-02-03

Utlysning av forskningsmedel för forskning i nätverkssjukvården (NSV) 3 februari - 8 april

Region Stockholm utlyser medel för Nätverkssjukvården i Region Stockholm 2021 för att främja forskningen i nätverkssjukvården

Utlysningen av NSV kommer att hanteras i ansökningssystemet Researchweb: <https://www.researchweb.org/is/stockholm>. Vi ber er därför följa länken nedan "Till utlysning NSV 2021", alternativt kopiera länken och klistra in den i din webbläsare för att komma till utlysning.

Ansökningsperioden startar den 3 februari 2020 och sista ansökningsdag är den 8 april 2020 kl. 16.00

Beslut om fördelning av medel kommer att fattas under hösten 2020. Beviljade medel kommer att vara tillgängliga från och med den 1 januari 2021.

» Till utlysning NSV 2021.

Välkommen med din ansökan!

Kontaktpersoner

Anna Roloff: 072-467 37 72, anna.roloff@sll.se
Sofia Baez-Catalan: 070-737 45 18, sofia.p.baez-catalan@sll.se
Kristin Blidberg: 072-598 12 65, kristin.blidberg@sll.se

2020-01-29

Utlysning av stöd till Forskar ST, kliniska postdoktorer, kliniska forskare och högre kliniska forskare 2021

Forskningsrådet utlyser stöd till kliniska forskartjänster 2021.

Ansökan

Aktuella utlysningar:

- CIMED projektbidrag 2021
- ALF Medicin 2021
- ALF Pedagogik 2021
- KIs pedagogiska medel 2021
- SU-Region Stockholm 2020
- Forskar ST 2021
- Klinisk postdoktor 2021
- Klinisk forskare 2021
- Högre klinisk forskare 2021

Kontaktpersoner:

Ansökningswebben för samtliga utlysningar:

Sofia Baez-Catalan
tel: 070-737 45 18
sofia.p.baez-catalan@sll.se

Anna Roloff
tel: 072-467 37 72
anna.roloff@sll.se

Kristin Blidberg
tel: 072-598 12 65
kristin.blidberg@sll.se

Fakturaunderlag/fakturering för ALF Medicin, ALF Pedagogik, PPG, NSV och HMT:

Magnus Hammarberg
tel: 070-797 59 00
magnus.hammarberg@sll.se

ALF pedagogik:

Susanne Kalén
tel: 123 146 29
susanne.b.kalen@sll.se

KIs pedagogiska projektmedel:

Philip Malmgren
philip.malmgren@ki.se

KI resp Region Stockholm för MedTechLabs:

Lena Lewin
tel: +46 (0)8 524 860 64
lena.lewin@ki.se

Elisabet Rendahl
tel: 070 737 25 40



Ansök här
Startsida
Utlysningar/anvisn.
Ansök här
Min ansökan
Beviljade anslag
Populärvet. beskr.
Statistik
Fakturering
Beredning
Granskningsgrupper
Acknowledgement

Ansök här

Här sker registrering av en ansökan.

Har du redan påbörjat din ansökan, logga in med ditt ansökningsnummer och lösenord.

Mail kopplade till ansökningssystemet skickas från info_SLLFoUUI.lsf@sll.se.

Aktuella anslag

[CIMED projektbidrag, senior](#)

[ALF projektmedel medicin, ny ansökan](#)

[CIMED projektbidrag, junior](#)

[ALF projektmedel medicin, fortsättningsansökan](#)

[ALF projektmedel pedagogik, ny ansökan](#)

[ALF projektmedel pedagogik, fortsättningsansökan](#)

[KIs pedagogiska projektmedel](#)

[SU - Region Stockholm 2020, ny ansökan](#)

[Forskar ST](#)

[Klinisk postdoktor](#)

[Klinisk forskare](#)

[Högre klinisk forskare](#)

Sista ansök- ningsdatum

2020-03-05 kl 16

2020-02-17 kl 16

2020-03-05 kl 16

2020-02-17 kl 16

2020-03-16 kl 16

2020-03-16 kl 16

2020-03-16 kl 16

2020-02-28 kl 16

2020-03-18 kl 16

2020-03-18 kl 16

2020-03-18 kl 16

2020-03-18 kl 16

Logga in:
Ansökningsnr:

20200208

Lösenord:

.....

▶ Logga in

Har du glömt lösenordet på din påbörjade ansökan? » [Klicka här](#)

Who can apply?

- Researchers with a PhD + employed by KI or Region Stockholm
- Main applicant's associated to one of the departments at Campus Flemingsberg
- Project activity at Campus Flemingsberg
- One applicant (main or co) at least 30% clinical duty at Region Stockholm
- Jr level: PhD for less than 10 years
 - Starting from the date of thesis defense
 - Parental leave and sick-listing may be deducted
- Sr level: PhD for more than 10 years



Who cannot apply?

- Researchers with *CIMED Junior/Senior Investigator grant 2017-21*
- Researchers (main applicants) with *CIMED project grant* for 2021
- Researchers not associated to one of the Flemingsberg departments
- Exemption: CNS (SLSO-Psykiatri Sydväst)

The review process for CIMED project grants

**March 5th
at 4.00 pm**


Application deadline



Eligibility scan of applications by CIMED



Reviewers report potential conflict of interest and applications are distributed within the group



Review, deadline: May 3rd



Review meetings – middle of May – beginning of June



Decision- based on reviewers' suggestion - by the CIMED board



Results visible to applicants through the application web page, end of June (week 26)



Results posted at CIMED home page

Review group 1:

Cancer and haematological diseases, regenerative medicine, cell therapy, stem cells, paediatrics, gynaecology/ obstetrics and reproductive medicine, musculoskeletal diseases.

- **Anders Lindahl**, Göteborgs universitet
- **Jörg Cammenga**, Linköpings universitet
- **Olle Svensson**, Umeå universitet
- **Marene Landström**, Umeå universitet
- **Margareta Hellgren Wångdahl**, Göteborgs universitet
- **Mats Jerkeman**, Lund universitet
- **Jovanna Dahlgren**, Göteborgs universitet

Review group 2:

Infection, inflammation, immunological and gastroenterological diseases, metabolism and endocrinology, upper GI cancer and transplantation.

- **Espen Melum**, Universitetet i Oslo
- **Sigvard Olofsson**, Göteborgs universitet
- **Jakob Hedberg**, Uppsala universitet
- **Jorma Hinkula**, Linköpings universitet
- **Charlotte Ling**, Lunds universitet
- **Hanns Ulrich Marschall**, Göteborgs universitet

Review group 3:

Cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, emergency medicine, nephrological and urogenital diseases, diseases caused by aging, neurological and neurodegenerative diseases, mental disorders, odontology, nursing science.

- **Eva Swahn**, Linköpings universitet
- **Lisa Ekselius**, Uppsala universitet
- **Ingegerd Johansson**, Umeå universitet
- **Bengt Fellström**, Uppsala universitet
- **Yngve Gustafsson**, Umeå universitet
- **Ingalill Rahm Hallberg**, Lunds universitet

About the reviewers:

- Active researchers with competence level equal to at least docent (associate professor)
- Understand Swedish
- Both clinical and experimental researchers
- Heads of dept. and heads of research at hospital are given the possibility to suggest reviewers

Evaluation criteria

1. The clinical relevance of the project
2. Method and scientific quality
3. Competence and feasibility
4. Benefit for the patients
5. Efficient use of research funds

1. The clinical research question

- The clinical relevance of the project.
- Does the project contribute to increased knowledge in the medical research area (given that the project can be performed in an original and meaningful way)?

2. Method and scientific quality

- Hypothesis/research question
- Is the study design suitable?
- Are the methods and data processing correctly used, suitable and adequate for the aim of the project?
- All research must be performed with high quality
- Originality and re-thinking is rewarded

3. Competence and feasibility

- The applicant's competence and qualifications to carry out the research.
 - Is there access to relevant patient material, infrastructure, personnel and financing?
 - Is the project clinically anchored?
 - Does the project have the possibility to recruit enough patients?
 - Is there an ethical approval? Other relevant permissions/approvals?
- => Applicants above 65 years must provide information on how the research group has incorporated young research talent.

4. Patient benefit

- Clinical research should benefit the patient and contribute to new ways to:
 - a. To achieve health
 - b. To relieve and cure disease
 - c. Improve the efficacy of health resources
 - Plan for implementation
 - How will the knowledge generated in the project be used in the health care section?
 - What does the process of research transfer to clinical praxis look like?
 - Time plan?
 - Clinically anchored – how is the health care section involved in this project?
 - How can the research result be translated into clinical practice?
 - Are the results applicable for other patient groups? Other related research areas or diseases?
- Central criteria for evaluation!
- If average score is less than 2 = weak and not eligible for CIMED funding

5. Efficient use of research funds

- The efficiency of the granted amount for the project in relation to other financing.
- Is the budget relevant and in line with the project description?

Scoring - Evaluation criteria 1-4

- Score 7: Outstanding. Exceptionally strong, highest international level.
- Score 6: Excellent. Very strong with negligible weaknesses.
- Score 5: Very good to excellent. Very strong with minor weaknesses.
- Score 4: Very good. Strong with minor weaknesses.
- Score 3: Good. Some strengths but also some weaknesses.
- Score 2: Weak. Few strengths and one major or several minor weaknesses.
- Score 1: Poor. Few strengths and several major weaknesses.
- Score 0: Not possible to evaluate – information is lacking.

Scoring

- Efficient use of research funds

- Score 5: Excellent efficiency.
- Score 4: Very good efficiency.
- Score 3: Good efficiency.
- Score 2: Deficient efficiency.
- Score 1: Poor efficiency.
- Score 0: Not possible to evaluate – information is lacking.

The review process – individual scoring

- Reviewers score applications using individual accounts at the review platform
- No contact between reviewers before the review meeting
- Reviewers are provided with ranking lists only after all reviewers have finalized their scoring



Review meetings



1. Chairman organizes a triage process; applications with lowest or highest scores discussed only briefly (if agreed by all reviewers)

Chairman

- Does not score applications
- Member of CIMED board
- Organizes discussion at the meeting

2. Applications are presented by one reviewer and discussed among the group
 - i. If conflict of interest, reviewer leaves the room and is not involved in the discussion
 - ii. When necessary the score is adjusted to reflect the discussion
 - iii. The written evaluation is adjusted to reflect the group's discussion
3. Recommendation to grant or not + suggestion for amount

=> Instruction to select a rising star (will receive funding equal to a senior grant)

Suggestions for a good application

- Make it simple – can a person without expert competence understand what will be done?
- Proofread your application
- Use figures to complement and visualize when possible
- NOT necessary to have the maximum page number
- Use your friends for external peer-review
- Avoid abbreviations

Pitfalls

- Aim and hypothesis not clearly stated
- Too long applications (above page limit)
- Methods that are not motivated or vaguely described
- Multiple applications within the same project => collaborations recommended or very clear description of how the work will be divided
- Unclear abbreviations
- Incomplete application

